

# Assignment 2

## Theory of Computation

Due: 2:30pm on 25th of October, 2024

---

### Instructions

- Discussion is allowed, and encouraged. However, answers *must* be written by yourself.
  - All sources (including discussions) that are used to reach the solution must be mentioned.
- 

### Questions

1. Recall the formal definition of a Turing Machine. It is a 7-tuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, q_{\text{accept}}, q_{\text{reject}})$  where
  - $Q$  is the set of states.
  - $\Sigma$  is the input alphabet and should not contain the blank symbol.
  - $\Gamma$  is the tape alphabet, is a superset of  $\Sigma$  and contains the blank symbol.
  - $\delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times L, R$  is the transition function.
  - $q_0$  is the start state.
  - $q_{\text{accept}}$  is the accept state.
  - $q_{\text{reject}}$  is the reject state.

Answer the following questions about the above definition, giving reasons for your answers.

- (a) Can Turing Machines write the blank symbol on the tape? [2]
  - (b) Can the tape alphabet be the same as the input alphabet? [2]
  - (c) Can the Turing Machine's head be in the same position at the beginning of two successive steps? [2]
  - (d) Can a Turing Machine contain a single state? [2]
2. (a) Let  $A$  be a context-free language and  $B$  be a regular language. Show that  $A \cap B$  is context-free by constructing a PDA for it. You may assume that a PDA is given for  $A$  and a DFA is given for  $B$ . Argue correctness of your construction. [4]

(b) Use (a) to show that

$$\{w : w \in \{a, b, c\}^* \text{ and } w \text{ contains equal number of a's, b's and c's}\}$$

is not context-free.

[4]

3. Consider the following languages over  $\{0, 1\}$ .

$$L = \{\langle M \rangle : M \text{ is a DFA that accepts some string containing an equal number of 0s and 1s.}\}$$

$$L' = \{w : w \text{ has equal number of 0's and 1s}\} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$$

$$L'' = \{\langle G \rangle : G \text{ is a CFG that generates the empty language}\}$$

Using the fact that  $L'$  is context-free and  $L''$  is decidable, prove that  $L$  is decidable. [4]

4. Recall the definition for the index of a language.

**Definition.** Let  $L$  be a language over  $\Sigma$  and  $S \subseteq \Sigma^*$ . We say that  $x$  and  $y$  are distinguishable by  $L$ , for  $x, y \in S$ , if  $\exists z \in \Sigma^*$  such that either  $xz \in L$  or  $yz \in L$ , but not both. If this is not the case, then  $x$  and  $y$  are said to be indistinguishable by  $L$ .

$S$  is said to be pairwise distinguishable by  $L$  if for every  $x, y \in S$ ,  $x$  and  $y$  are pairwise distinguishable. The index of  $L$  is the size of the largest  $S$  that is pairwise distinguishable by  $L$ .  $\diamond$

Also recall your guess from Assignment 1.

**Theorem** (Myhill-Nerode Theorem). The index of a language is equal to the size of the smallest DFA accepting it.

Now consider the language  $L = \{a^i b^j c^k : i, j, k \geq 0; \text{ if } i = 1 \text{ then } j = k\}$ .

(a) Show that  $S = \{ab^j : j \geq 0\}$  is pairwise distinguishable by  $L$ . [2]

(b) Fill in the blank: Using Myhill Nerode Theorem, (a) shows that  $L$  is \_\_\_\_\_. [1]

(c) Show that  $L$  satisfies all the conditions of the Pumping Lemma. [5]

(d) Why does (b) and (c) not lead to a contradiction? [2]

5. In this question we will show that single tape Turing Machines that can not write on the portion of the tape containing the input string can only recognise regular languages.

Let  $M$  be a single tape Turing Machine that can not write on the portion of the tape containing the input string. Note that the head of  $M$ , when given an input  $x$ , will start in the input portion of the tape and then keep moving between that and the non-input portion.

Let  $q_x$  denote the state  $M$  is in when its head first moves out of the input portion. If this never happens, then  $f_x$  is set to  $q_{\text{accept}}$  if  $M$  accepts  $x$ , and to  $q_{\text{reject}}$  otherwise.

We also define a function  $f_x : Q' \rightarrow Q$  (for an appropriate  $Q' \subseteq Q$ ) as follows. For any  $q \in Q'$ ,  $f_x(q) = q'$  denotes that if  $M$  was in state  $q$  while moving from a non-input portion to an input portion, then the next time it moves into the non-input portion again,  $M$  would be in state  $q'$ . If that does not happen,  $f_x(q)$  is set to  $q_{\text{accept}}$  if  $M$  accepts  $x$  and to  $q_{\text{reject}}$  otherwise.

(a) What should  $Q'$  be so that the definition of  $f_x$  makes sense? [1]

- (b) Why is  $f_x$  well-defined? [2]
- (c) Show that for two strings  $x$  and  $y$ , if  $q_x = q_y$  and for every  $q \in Q'$ ,  $f_x(q) = f_y(q)$ , then  $x$  and  $y$  are indistinguishable by  $L(M)$ . [4]
- (d) For a fixed  $x$ , how many choices are there for  $q_x$ ? How many for  $f_x$ ? [1+2]
- (e) Why does (c) and (d) imply that  $L(M)$  is regular? [2]
6. Let  $E$  be an enumerator that enumerates descriptions of Turing Machines,  $\{\langle M_1 \rangle, \langle M_2 \rangle, \dots\}$ , where every  $M_i$  is a decider. Prove that there exists a decidable language that is not decided by any decider  $M_i$  enumerated by  $E$ . [8]