## Instructions

- · Discussion is allowed and infact encouraged
- · Answers must be written by yoursef.
- · All sources that one used to reach the Solution must be mentioned.
- (1) This problem investigates "RESOLUTION", a method for proving the unsatisfiability of CNF-formulas.

Recall the following definitions:

- · Literals are variables or their negation
- · Clauses one ORS of literals
- · CNFs are ANDs of clauses

het  $O = C_1 \wedge C_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_m$  be a formula in CNF, where the  $C_i$ 's are clauses.

Define Y = { C: C is a clause in \$}

In a susolution Step, we take two clauses Ca and Cb in E which have some variable, say x, appearing positively in one and negatively in another.

For example, say  $C_0 = x v y_1 v \cdots v y_k \qquad \text{and}$   $C_b = x v_{z_1} v \cdots v_{z_k} \qquad .$ 

We then form a new clause  $y_1 \cup \cdots \cup y_k \cup y_1 \cup \cdots \cup y_k \cup y$ 

and Simplify it by hemoving Repeated leterals and literals to this negation if both are present in the same clause.

We then add this clause to C.
We repeat this Step until no new
clauses can be added to C.
At this point if the empty clause

is in C, then we declare that  $\varphi$  is unsatisfiable.

i) Prove that the above algorithm does not de clave a satisfiable formula as unpatrisfiable. [5]
ii) Prove that the above algorithm de claves every unsatisfiable formula as unpatrisfiable. [5]
iii) Show that the above algorithm is efficient if every clause is an OR of exactly two literals. [5]